REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed August 16, 2004, the Examiner rejected claims 1-5, 7, 8, 12-20 and 23 under 35 USC Section 102(e) as being anticipated by Chun-Lung (6,623,305). Claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 11 and 16-21 were rejected under 35 USC Section 102(e) as being anticipated by Maiterth. Claims 9, 22 and 24 were objected to as being dependent upon rejected claims, but indicated as being allowable if rewritten in independent form including the limitations from any claims from which they depend.

By this amendment, claims 9, 22 and 24 are rewritten in independent form. The claims are now in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully Submitted

Scuteri, Ilario et al.

BY: _____ 1/18/2005

Randall S. Vaas Date

Registration No. 34,479

Phone (847) 523-2327

Fax. No. (847) 523-2350

PAGE 9/9 * RCVD AT 1/18/2005 8:22:34 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/6 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:18475232350 * DURATION (mm-ss):02-52